

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,336	10/31/2001		Stanley J. Kopecky	112703-208	5203
29156	7590	03/12/2003			
BELL, BOY		EXAMINER			
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ARNOLD III, TROY G		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				3728	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/003,336	KOPECKY, STANLEY J.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Troy Arnold	3728					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Troy Arnold</u> .	(3)						
(2) Mr Robert Barrett, Attorney for the Applicant.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 10 March 2003.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,11,15 and 18</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview require	last Office action has already FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SUBSTANCE OF THE					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney questioned whether or not the species election requirement (paper No. 8) was proper, based on claim 1 being generic to all of the embodiments. Examiner indicated that the embodiment represented in claim 1 is not generic to those of claim 15 or 18, ie that that they are mutually exclusive species. Examiner indicated that even if the embodiment represented in claim 1 were generic to all of the claims, patentably distinct species could still exist. The Examiner maintains that claim 1 requires a product attached to a sheet, while claim 15 requires products individually wrapped, and then attached to a sheet.

Mickey Yu

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700